Hemoperitoneum Due to Penetrating Intercostal Artery Injury: A Case Report of a Rare and Still Understudied Entity
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Intercostal artery injury may be life-threatening and usually presents as hemothorax. We report a unique case of penetrating injury, causing hemoperitoneum due to intercostal artery injury, without thoracic involvement. During urgent laparotomy, no intra-abdominal organ injury was found. Hemostasis was successfully achieved via suturing through an additional lateral 10 cm incision through the left thorax.
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INTRODUCTION

Intercostal artery injuries have been reported to be caused by blunt and/or penetrating injuries [1] as well as iatrogenic injuries [2]. Traumatic injuries to the intercostal artery most commonly cause large hemothorax. We report a unique case of a penetrating injury, causing massive intra-abdominal bleeding from an intercostal artery, without any diaphragmatic injury or hemothorax.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 54-year-old male presented to a regional Level II trauma center after sustaining multiple stab wounds to his left chest at the posterior axillary line level, as well as the left back and both buttocks. On admission, the patient was noted to have active bleeds from his wounds, controlled by pressure dressings. Initial chest X-ray performed at the trauma bay was interpreted as normal. The patient remained hemodynamically stable and was referred to the radiology department for computed tomography (CT) scan of the chest and abdomen. Chest CT did not reveal any pathological findings, with no pneumohemothorax. Abdominal CT revealed a significant hemoperitoneum (Figure 1), with active extravasation from the left intercostal artery in the posterior aspect of the tenth intercostal space (Figure 2). The patient progressively developed hemodynamic instability and underwent emergency laparotomy. On surgery, approximately 2 liters of blood were evacuated from the abdominal cavity. Exploration of the abdomen did not reveal any parenchymal or hollow viscus injury. Active bleeding was detected in the posterior abdominal wall, which was treated with simple suturing followed by packing. However, this was not sufficient for control of bleeding. Therefore, it was decided to attempt control through an additional lateral incision. A 10 cm incision was performed through the stab wound on the lateral aspect of the left thorax, similar in location to a posterior lateral thoracotomy incision. Via this approach, hemostasis was achieved by sutures pulled through the chest wall from the inside out, around the ribs adjacent to the bleeding intercostal artery. During surgery, the patient received 4 units of packed red blood cells and 2 units of fresh frozen plasma. The patient was stabilized, underwent primary abdominal closure, and transferred
mostly due to the limited space/exposure where the ribs have the least mobility and the intercostal space is smallest [6]. The accepted practice is performing a posterior lateral thoracotomy. However, previously described cases reported that the clinical manifestation of intercostal artery injury is mostly massive hemothorax. To the best of our knowledge, only a single case of hemoperitoneum necessitating laparotomy due to an intercostal artery injury, resulting from blunt chest trauma and rib fractures, has been reported [7]. This is the first description of an isolated massive hemoperitoneum caused by bleeding from an intercostal artery due to a penetrating injury. In our opinion, despite the findings on the CT scan, in the presence of a significant amount of blood in the abdominal cavity, the explorative laparotomy and meticulous revision of the abdominal cavity were mandatory in order to exclude intra-abdominal organ injury. In retrospect, a midline incision substantially restricted the exposure of the posterior intercostal space. As a result, additional posterior lateral thoracotomy was required to provide an adequate approach for hemostasis achievement. This created a unique problem, associated with placing a patient with an open and packed abdomen in a lateral decubitus position.

We believe that this case raises the possibility that some patients with a penetrating flank/back injury and massive hemoperitoneum may have an intercostal artery injury, even without hemothorax or diaphragmatic injury. A posterior wound may possibly raise a suspicion of an intercostal artery injury. This awareness is even more important in unstable patients who are operated upon immediately, without additional imaging.
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