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Diagnostic and interventional radiology (IR) techniques have recently shown significant efficacy in trauma care when combined with surgical procedures. A multifaceted approach to traffic accidents has reduced the number of trauma patients in Japan, which has necessitated simulation education to provide practical experience and ensure proficiency. The objective of this paper is to report the educational development of endovascular and hybrid workshops in Japan. The Japanese Society of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology in Emergency, Critical Care, and Trauma (DIRECT) was established in 2011 to maximize the benefit of diagnostic and IR in emergency or trauma settings. DIRECT conducts trauma endovascular workshops for emergency medicine physicians, acute care surgeons, and IR physicians. From July 2011 to June 2016, DIRECT has conducted 14 simulator workshops, six endovascular workshops using a porcine model, and four hybrid trauma management workshops, of which two were conducted with porcine models. The simulation workshop was designed for novice learners and included resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta (REBOA) deployment using a pressurized silicone model, catheter and guidewire manipulation with a three-dimensional (3D) vessel silicone model and virtual fluoroscopic simulator, and metallic coil deployment. Porcine simulations for intermediate-level physicians were conducted and endovascular tools included different occlusion materials. In the hybrid strategy model, by using a porcine model of injury, the participants combined both surgical and endovascular procedures such as REBOA, selective balloon occlusion, and N-butyl cyanocrylate embolization. DIRECT is a vital bridge between emergency/trauma and IR, and workshops are essential for improved trauma care.
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INTRODUCTION

Trauma care was established primarily by surgeons; thus, surgical procedures are historically considered the preferred methods of treatment [1]. Additional resources have recently become available. Current advances in diagnostic and interventional radiology (IR) have shown significant efficacy in endovascular hemostasis in trauma cases. Time is a critical factor in severe trauma patients [2,3]. However, it is difficult for in-house IR physicians to be available around the clock, particularly at night and on the weekends. Unacceptable delays in the treatment of exsanguinating patients may arise because the IR physicians must travel to the hospital, evaluate diagnostic images, and confer with the emergency medicine (EM) physician and/or acute care surgeon (ACS). Early activation of the trauma radiology team results in more accurate diagnostic imaging and more rapid deployment of IR procedures [4]. In this context, the Japanese Society of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology in Emergency, Critical care, and Trauma (DIRECT) was created in 2011 to promote time-conscious trauma care. The objective of this paper is to report the development of the endovascular and hybrid educational workshop in Japan. This paper reviews the historical background of surgical and endovascular procedure training, as well as the formation of DIRECT and its training activities.

Dramatic Decrease in Trauma and the Necessity of Educational Workshops

A multifaceted approach to traffic accidents in Japan has dramatically reduced not only fatalities but also the number of trauma patients since 1970 [5,6]. Laws against illegal drugs and driving while intoxicated have contributed to a reduction in motor vehicle accident fatalities from 16,765 in 1970 to 4,117 in 2015 [7–10]. In addition, restrictions on firearm ownership resulted in a negligible number of trauma incidents involving gunshots, while there were 29,989 fatalities in the United States in 2014. The successful decrease in trauma has had a high impact on trauma education in Japan. The dearth of trauma cases has necessitated the use of cadavers, simulators, and porcine models to simulate trauma incidents to provide practical experience for clinically uncommon procedures.

The Advanced Trauma Life Support guidelines (ATLS) [1] have been paramount to the standardization of initial assessment and resuscitation, which are focused on appropriate surgical intervention. They paved the way for a number of surgical skill improvement workshops using human cadavers or porcine models, which predate endovascular procedure workshops. Advanced Surgical Skills for Exposure in Trauma (ASSET) [11] and the Trauma Exposure Course (TEC) [12] are cadaver-using courses, while the Advanced Trauma Operative Management (ATOM) course (since 1998, United States) [13], Definitive Surgical Trauma Care (DSTC) course (since 1997, Australia and South Africa) [14], and Surgical Strategy and Treatment for Trauma (SSTT) course (since 2009, Japan) [15] use porcine models.

Human cadavers and porcine models have been used in endovascular procedures. Recently, approaches using synthetic materials and virtual reality simulators have become more popular [16,17]. Two trauma-specific workshops, Endovascular Skills for Trauma and Resuscitative Surgery (ESTARS) and Basic Endovascular Skills for Trauma (BEST), were reported in the United States and have been offered since 2013 [18,19]. These courses cover the fundamental procedures of resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta (REBOA).

Trauma Radiology and DIRECT: EM/ACS-IR Bridge and Synergies

Acutely time-conscious intervention is the primary prerequisite to realizing the benefits of IR following a traumatic event. The concept of damage control, usually applied to surgery, may also result in successful outcomes [20] and requires the use of highly time-conscious strategies. Previous literature [4] has also included the concept of the Prompt and Rapid Endovascular Strategies in Trauma Occasion (PRESTO) concept and the damage control IR (DCIR) algorithm, whereby a rapidly-deployed trauma radiology team resulted in improved practice decisions in endovascular and hybrid interventions.

In July 2011, DIRECT was formed to maximize the benefits of radiology in emergency and/or trauma settings, and to serve as a bridge between surgical and IR specialists. From July 2011 to June 2016, DIRECT has conducted numerous workshops, including the following: 1) diagnostic imaging courses (acute disease, 12 times; trauma, 10 times; up to 20 participants); 2) 14 endovascular workshops using simulators (18–20 learners, 7–12 instructors and several instructor candidates); 3) six endovascular workshops using porcine models (8 or 16 learners, 7–10 instructors and several instructor candidates, 8 learners/porcine); and 4) four hybrid workshops using synthetic materials and virtual reality simulators.
trauma management workshops using a porcine model (6 learners/porcine, 9–12 instructors). In total, over 250 students have been trained in the simulator workshops, 70 in the porcine model workshops, and 24 in the hybrid workshops. The participation fee was 10,000 JPY for the diagnostic imaging course and the simulator IR workshop and 50,000–60,000 JPY for the porcine IR workshop.

Training Endovascular Physicians for Trauma in DIRECT

There are two primary approaches to implementing endovascular techniques in trauma: IR priority and EM/ACS priority. Both groups of physicians contribute different skills and knowledge. IR physicians may exhibit a relative lack of knowledge in traumatology; whereas, EM physicians/ACSs often lack the technical skills routinely possessed by IR physicians. Additionally, ineluctable inter-departmental conflicts may cause concern regarding the appropriate qualifications and board certification. However, the understanding that time is of the essence is of crucial importance: lost time increases blood loss, and blood loss causes loss of life.

By transcending potential inter-departmental conflicts, we are certain that a combined IR–EM management strategy will be able to improve trauma care. Accordingly, DIRECT does not restrict admission to its workshops due to a participant’s primary specialty; we have shared the concepts of trauma physiology and trauma IR with IR physicians, and likewise, we have taught endovascular techniques and pitfalls to EM/ACS personnel.

Trauma radiology lectures and time-conscious management

Workshop lectures consist of the following topics: 1) the basic theory and pitfalls of REBOA; 2) embolic materials such as gelatin sponges, metallic coils and N-butyl cyanoacrylate (NBCA); 3) virtual fluoroscopic imaging for IR procedure navigation with a 3D workstation using arterial phase CT data from trauma panscans (known as pre-procedural planning, PPP); and 4) trauma coagulopathy and trauma IR concepts, including DCIR.

Endovascular training using simulators

The simulation workshop is designed primarily for novice learners of IR and comprises the following: 1) REBOA deployment using a pressurized silicone model (Endo Vascular Evaluator (EVE), BR Biomedicals, Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, India); 2) catheter and guidewire manipulation using a 3D vessel silicone model and a Vascular Intervention System Trainer (VIST)-C, (Mentice, Evanston, IL, USA); and 3) metallic coil deployment training (fibered detachable coil or water-pressured bare detachable coil) (Table 1).

REBOA, as opposed to resuscitative thoracotomy, has gained increasing acceptance as a less-invasive aortic occlusion method [21]. In Japan, REBOA has been used since the late 1990s in clinical settings [22]; however, standardized courses did not exist prior to DIRECT. In current practice, 7 Fr and 10 Fr sheath-compatible devices are commercially available in Japan [23] and are also used in the workshop. Training focuses on obtaining arterial access, manipulating the guidewire and catheter, and using imaging to confirm the guidewire within the aorta (ultrasound, X-ray) to obviate potential complications. Self-evaluation after the workshop indicated a significantly improved understanding of the lecture material and all endovascular procedures (Table 2).

Endovascular skill simulation using a porcine model

IR simulations, using a porcine model have been conducted by intermediate-level IR physicians (Table 1). NBCA is infrequently used in elective cases; therefore, porcine simulation is quite useful. All faculty and students attended a mandatory ethics and animal welfare lecture before undertaking any clinical procedures.

After placing the animals under general anesthesia, the bilateral femoral arteries were selected as the access routes. Participants subsequently prepared embolic materials. They next practiced catheters and guidewires manipulation with faculty assistance. The intercostal, internal iliac arteries, and some branches of the renal arteries were selected as the target vessels. PPP acquired from the pre-scanned CT data of each porcine model provided the anatomical information to accurately navigate to the target vessel (Figure 1). Vascular injury models were made by piercing the vessel wall against the stiff edge of the guidewire and/or balloon catheter. Workshop students alternately acted as the operator or the attendant, thereby gaining valuable insights into the complexities and nuances of the procedure (Figure 2). Self-evaluation after the workshop revealed significant improvement in understanding the selection of embolic materials, catheter manipulation, and gelatin sponge embolization (Table 2).

Hybrid strategy model in porcine injury

Recently, hybrid approaches (combined surgical and endovascular procedures) in trauma treatment have gained greater acceptance as advanced, feasible hemostatic interventions [24]. Even though specialized equipment is necessary to fully utilize hybrid treatment, this approach will change trauma strategies.

In the hybrid approach workshops, vascular accesses were established and a laparotomy was performed on a porcine model. The hybrid workshop focused on damage control endovascular procedures such as NBCA injection, REBOA, and selective balloon occlusion, which were combined with surgical approaches. Finally, the
liver, kidney, spleen, and iliac arteries were injured so that the students could strategize and implement interventions. Participants worked under the direct supervision of endovascular and surgical faculty members, thereby benefiting from a multidisciplinary approach in this crucial field (Figure 3).

**Global Endovascular Workshops and Future Directions in Trauma Management**

Most participants in BEST or ESTARS courses are trauma surgeons or ACSs. However, and unfortunately, there are few experienced trauma surgeons in Japan because the number of trauma cases is decreasing and most general surgeons are unwilling to actively pursue ACS. Thus, EM physicians usually conduct the initial assessment and resuscitation. Consequently, some EM physicians have begun to choose IR fellowships as their subspecialty to perform trauma IR quickly and DIRECT acts as a bridge to that training. In Sweden, the Endovascular hybrid Trauma and bleeding Management (EVTM) workshop began in 2015 [25], encompassing both surgical and endovascular approaches. The EVT family and DIRECT collaboratively designed the workshop from the outset and such activity is spreading internationally.

In a limited number of non-standard, leading-edge “hybrid ER” facilities in Japan, CT scans, angiography, and surgery can be performed without patient transfer [26]. The use of CT as an aid to diagnosis, even in hemodynamically unstable patients in the trauma bay, may lead to a new era in trauma care.

DIRECT has taken a critical first step toward rapid radiological intervention in trauma cases. We strongly believe that sharing the knowledge and experience of trauma radiology among multidisciplinary professionals, multi-institutional staff, and internationally, will result in dramatic improvements in hybrid trauma management.
in the very near future. To objectively demonstrate the effect of the training package and the benefit to the patient, the EVTM training material should be standardized and an accumulation of international experience should be established.

This paper has several limitations. First, our data could not provide exact data on how the participants changed before and after the workshop experience from evaluation of the questions because the program has improved gradually and was not able to accumulate the statistical results from the post-course questionnaire. Second, as trauma care systems vary widely among each institute or country, our experience may not be applicable in other countries. Despite these limitations, our experience in the development of an endovascular trauma workshop should be shared.

### Table 2  Self-evaluation before and after the workshop.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Simulator Workshop (n = 18)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma IR concept</td>
<td>3.61 ± 2.17</td>
<td>6.61 ± 1.65</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma coagulopathy</td>
<td>5.78 ± 2.69</td>
<td>8.78 ± 1.31</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time consciousness in trauma care</td>
<td>5.83 ± 2.73</td>
<td>8.72 ± 1.23</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REBOA knowledge</td>
<td>3.44 ± 2.55</td>
<td>6.61 ± 1.88</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REBOA procedures</td>
<td>2.72 ± 2.30</td>
<td>6.17 ± 2.26</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiography catheter manipulation</td>
<td>1.78 ± 1.48</td>
<td>4.44 ± 1.72</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microcatheter manipulation</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.78</td>
<td>3.61 ± 1.69</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelatin sponge embolization</td>
<td>1.44 ± 1.10</td>
<td>2.94 ± 1.35</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coil embolization</td>
<td>1.50 ± 1.10</td>
<td>3.39 ± 1.38</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBCA-embolization</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.55</td>
<td>2.28 ± 1.32</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Porcine Workshop (n = 12)**

| Selection of embolic materials | 3.25 ± 2.30 | 4.67 ± 2.15 | <0.001 |
| Catheter manipulation          | 4.08 ± 2.11 | 6.25 ± 1.22 | <0.001 |
| Gelatin sponge embolization    | 3.83 ± 2.25 | 5.42 ± 1.83 | <0.001 |
| Coil embolization              | 3.17 ± 2.25 | 3.42 ± 2.43 | 0.191  |

IR, interventional radiology; REBOA, resuscitative endovascular occlusion of the aorta; NBCA, N-butyl cyanoacrylate.
CONCLUSION

The necessity of simulation education in trauma is widely recognized. This paper reported how to create an educational program in the endovascular and hybrid workshops in Japan. DIRECT was established to bridge the gap between EM/ACS and IR. We strongly believe multidisciplinary collaborative approaches offer improved trauma care, and that sharing the educational experience internationally will accelerate the improvement of endovascular and hybrid approaches in trauma settings.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

This is a review article and does not contain any original human or animal data, therefore an ethics committee approval and consent to participate statement do not apply to this manuscript. The educational use of porcine received ethical approval from each institution and all participants took mandatory ethics and animal welfare lectures and received approval.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank all the members of the organizing committee in the Society of DIRECT; Advisory Board, Shigeki Kushimoto MD PhD (Division of Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine), Yasuo Nakajima MD PhD (Department of Radiology, St. Marianna University School of Medicine), Hiroshi Nishimaki MD PhD (Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, St. Marianna University School of Medicine), Junichiro Yokota MD PhD (Vice Chairman, Sakai City Hospital Organization); Executive Secretary, Yoshiaki Ichinose MD (Department of Radiology, National Hospital Organization Disaster Medical Center), Tomohiko Orita MD (Emergency and Critical Care Center, Saiseikai Yokohamashi Tobu Hospital), Fumie Kashimi MD (Department of Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, Kitasato University School of Medicine), Takayuki Hattori MD (Department of Radiology, Tokyo Metropolitan Health and Medical Treatment Corporation Okubo Hospital), Kohei Morimoto MD (Department of Radiology, National Hospital Organization Disaster Medical Center), Satomi Senoo MD (Department of Radiology, National Hospital Organization Disaster Medical Center), Hiroyasu Nakamura MD (Department of Radiology, Jichi University); Interventional Radiology Committee, Koji Idoguchi MD, Takayuki Hattori MD, Yoshimitsu Izawa MD, Shunsuke Otani MD PhD, Tomohiko Orita, Shuji Kuwabara MD PhD, Kumiko Tanaka MD, Keisuke Tomita MD, Yosuke Matsumura MD PhD, Takaaki Maruhashi MD, Tatsuhiro Yamaya MD; Diagnostic Imaging Committee, Kohei Morimoto MD PhD, Fumie Kashimi MD, Yuri Kon MD, Naoaki Shibata MD, Satomi Senoo MD, Hiroyasu Nakamura MD, Motoyuki Miyakata MD; International Committee (International Society of DIRECT), Junichi Matsumoto MD PhD, Koji Idoguchi MD, Hiroshi Kondo MD PhD, Satomi Senoo MD, Yosuke Matsumura MD PhD; Academic Committee, Hiroshi Kondo MD PhD, Tomohiko Orita MD, Keisuke Tomita MD, Hiroyasu Nakamura MD, Yosuke Matsumura MD PhD, Takaaki Maruhashi MD; Program committee, Junichi Matsumoto MD PhD, Yoshiaki Ichinose MD, Tomohiro Funabiki MD PhD.

REFERENCES


